

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/734,368	12/12/2003	Per Sauerberg	6594.200-US	2809	
23650 7590 08/14/2008 NOVO NORDISK, INC. INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			KEYS, ROSALYND ANN		
100 COLLEGE ROAD WEST PRINCETON, NJ 08540		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
,			1621		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/14/2008	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

nnipatent@novonordisk.com KSHL@novonordisk.com KISW@novonordisk.com

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/734,368 SAUERBERG ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit ROSALYND KEYS 1621 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 April 2008. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-48.53-66 and 69-73 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) See Continuation Sheet is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-4, 9-11, 16-22, 24, 26-28, 32-34, 38-48, 54, 63-66 and 69 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)

Notice of Draftsparson's Catent Drawing Review (CTO-948)

Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _______

Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

 $Continuation \ of \ Disposition \ of \ Claims: Claims \ with drawn \ from \ consideration \ are \ 5-8, 12-15, 23, 25, 29-31, 35-37, 53, 55-57, 60, 62 \ and \ 70-73.$

Art Unit: 1621

DETAILED ACTION

Status of Claims

Claims 1-48, 53-66, 69-73 are pending.

Claims 1-4, 9-11, 16-22, 24, 26-28, 32-34, 38-48, 54, 63-66 and 69 are rejected.

Claims 5-8, 12-15, 23, 25, 29-31, 35-37, 53, 55-57, 60, 62 and 70-73 are withdrawn from consideration.

Claims 58, 59, and 61 are objected.

Claims 49-52, 67, are 68 are cancelled.

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on April 28, 2008 has been entered.

Election/Restrictions

3. Claims 5-8, 12-15, 23, 25, 29-31, 35-37, 53, 55-57, 60, 62 and 70-73 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on December 20, 2006.

Response to Arguments

 Applicant's arguments, see page 18, filed April 28, 2008, with respect to the rejection of claims 1-4, 9-11, 16-22, 24, 26-28, 32-34, 38-48, 54, 63-66 and 69 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as

Page 3

Application/Control Number:

10/734,368 Art Unit: 1621

anticipated by Sauerberg et al. (WO 01/79150 A1) have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejection of claims 1-4, 9-11, 16-22, 24, 26-28, 32-34, 38-48, 54, 63-66 and 69 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) has been withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- The factual inquiries set forth in Graham v. John Deere Co., 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 7. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (a) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Art Unit: 1621

Claims 1-4, 9-11, 16-22, 24, 26-28, 32-34, 38-48, 54, 63-66 and 69 are rejected under 35
U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Sauerberg et al. (WO 01/79150 A1) in view of Pershadsingh et al.
(US 6.028,088) and De la Brouse-Elwood et al. (US 6.200,995 B1).

Sauerberg et al. teach the claimed invention at page 3, line 16 to page 4, line 4; page 8, line 1 to page 10, line 3; page 15, line 20 to page 16, line 15; and page 17, lines 23-31. In the compound of general formula (I) the Y substituent corresponds to T-Z-U in the instant compound of formula (I). Sauerberg et al. disclose that Y is alkylaralkyl (see page 3, line 28). Examples of alkyl include methyl, ethyl and isopropyl (see page 4, lines 29 and 30). Examples of aryl include phenyl, naphthyl and the like (see page 5, lines 21-23). The phrase "and the like" is suggestive of using other divalent polycyclic ring-systems (see page 5, lines 21-23 and page 6, lines 1-3).

Pershadsingh et al. (US 6,028,088) and De la Brouse-Elwood et al. (US 6,200,995 B1) each relate to compounds which are PPAR agonists or modulators (see entire disclosures, in particular the background of invention for each reference).

De la Brouse-Elwood et al. define aryl to mean phenyl, 1 or 2-naphthyl, 4-biphenyl, etc. (see column 4, lines 12-34).

Pershadsingh et al. define anyl to mean phenyl, naphthyl, biphenyl, etc. (see column 5, lines 1-14).

One having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would reasonably have believed that the phrase "and the like" as disclosed by Sauerberg et al. would include biphenyl or other divalent polycyclic ring-systems as disclosed by Pershadsingh et al. (US 6.028,088) and De la Brouse-Elwood et al., since these were common aryl substituents for compounds which are PPAR modulators or agonists.

Art Unit: 1621

Allowable Subject Matter

 Claims 58, 59 and 61 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

10. All claims are drawn to the same invention claimed in the application prior to the entry of the submission under 37 CFR 1.114 and could have been finally rejected on the grounds and art of record in the next Office action if they had been entered in the application prior to entry under 37 CFR 1.114. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL even though it is a first action after the filing of a request for continued examination and the submission under 37 CFR 1.114. See MPEP § 706.07(b). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

 Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ROSALYND KEYS whose telephone number is (571)272-0639. The examiner can normally be reached on M. R. & F. 5:30-7:30 am. & 1-5 pm; T. & W. 5:30 am-4 pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yvonne Eyler can be reached on 571-272-0871. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/ROSALYND KEYS/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1621

May 9, 2008